

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA**

HEATHER HERNDON,

Plaintiff,

v.

EXPERIAN, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:22-cv-00165-SLG

**ORDER RE EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC.'S MOTION FOR  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Before the Court at Docket 86 is *Experian Information Solutions, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment*. Plaintiff Herndon did not file a response to the motion and Experian filed an additional brief in support of the motion at Docket 90.

On the current record, no evidence exists to demonstrate a genuine issue for trial on any claim that Plaintiff's claim could be construed to assert against Experian. Further, Experian has demonstrated that it is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Accordingly, Experian is entitled to summary judgment in its favor and its motion for summary judgment is GRANTED.<sup>1</sup>

Plaintiff did file a *Petition for Name Change* at Docket 89, seeking to have her name not appear in all capitals in the case caption. The request is DENIED

---

<sup>1</sup> Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a); *Celotex Corp. v. Catreet*, 477 U.S. 317, 322 (1986).

because it is inconsistent with the Local Civil Rules for the District of Alaska. See Local Civil Rule 7.5 Exemplar.

The Clerk of Court is directed to enter a final judgment accordingly.

DATED this 16th day of November, 2023, at Anchorage, Alaska.

/s/ Sharon L. Gleason  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE